G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1920.

(Sundry)

File No. 5-20-20

Serial Nos. ~~1-20~~ 1-4 and 6-20

Later references.

Rg 352-22-245

Preserved

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Retain

Serial Nos. 1—20

Part B for October 22.

SUBJECT

Affairs of Sri Dharmarayaswami Temple at Ulsoor Bazar, Bangalore City—

5.(20) The Muzrai Commissioner

No 1470 dt 12-10-22

Forwards the Petn of the Dharmadarsis for disposal.

Printed on the Multigraph.

GWW

G. R S. 11]

1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 520-20

FILE HEADING.

Affairs of Sri Thamaraya Swami temple at Ulsoorpet, Bangalore.

From

Erappa Gowda and others residents of Vigalarpet, Bang.

No. Petition Dated 11-4-21 Received 12-4-21

Diary No. 2130	Enclos — Spare Copies —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Pray that arears due to them on account of the ~~farase~~ cappeshwat of previous years, may be handed over to them, and that the temple inam Lands & Villages may be restored to their possession.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition is addressed to the Dewan Dewan's order thereon is as follows:— "Muz. Secretary for a note. The petition may be sent on to the Dy. Com^r and further action taken under the orders of the Hon. Member in Muz

NOTES—First Sheet.

2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 55 of 1921.

Serial No.	
	2 The petitioners represent that the temple in question belongs to their community, that arrears on account of ~~Parade~~ Car festival have accumulated from year to year, that Dharmadarsis are kept on though there is no need for them, that Dharmadarsis Subbappa and Munivenkatappa are a source of trouble to the smooth working of the temple affairs — they pray that certain arrears due to them, may be paid to them without delay, and that Velasandra and other inam villages now under Court management, may be restored to their possession. The ~~Parade~~ Car festival of this year is stated to commence on 14-4-1921 when Dhwajarohana is said to take place. and 2 connected papers Mis. P No 622/09
7	3 Mis. P No. 707-18, on file up. A scale of expn providing among other items, a sum of Rs 500/- for Karaga and Rathotsavam has been sanctioned in Court Proceedings No 4497-9

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jungle File No. 520 of 1920-V

Serial No.

AV.

Put up a draft
sending this letter to
the Dy Comr for a
report on the points
raised by the Asst. Very early
BG (Vide Dumroda)
12.4.21

29th June 1920.

4 Submit for orders, with a
draft forwarding the letter
12.4.1921 to the Dy. Comr for
report.
BG 12/4/21

Allen 12-4

Seen)
Secretary's Order: 5 For approval of the
Secretary (Mr. Mudaliar) 14/4/21

5300-311
14-4-21

6. Seen.

Peel
15.4.21

Dumraon
14.4.21
Received
18/4

2 To the Dy Comr Bargaon No. 3705
BG 18.4.21 Sends the D.O. for early report.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Serial 3. From Vejaya an Subrayappa Dharmadarsi of the Sree Dharmaraya Swami temple at Bangalore city

Prays that copies of the petition from Venkappa Gowda and the endorsement thereon may be granted him on authentication.

Serial 4 No 243 dated 14-7-21.

To. Subrayappa.

Informs that the petition has been forwarded to the D.C. and hence copy of the same cannot be granted.

Serial 5 See the collection sketches

Serial 6. Petition from Subrayappa & others re management of

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Serial 7. Do D. C. Bangalore
No 4209 & 27-5-24
Forwards the above serial
6 for report

Serial 8. Petition from
Subbayappa & others
re closure of 1st Cornwallis
Brings to notice the
inconveniences prevailing
in the temple

Serial 9. No 925 & 19-6-24
Do. The D.C. Bangalore
Forwards the above
for submission of the
report on or before 24th
instant

10 From the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore
Dcairy No 668 & 24-6-24
Submits the report
Called for -

The Deputy

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 520 of 1920-21

Serial No. 8.

Commissioner States that the previous petitions forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner were sent to the Amildar Bangalore Dqr for report. The Amildar's report shows that on the day previous to the last Dhwajarohana, he sent for Mr Surappa, the Convener, but could not get him as he was absent from the Station and that the document was nominally entrusted to his agent Gowda: The purchases were made under the supervision of Sri Subbarao and Sheikdar.

9. He also states that he has not yet sent up his report on the petitions as he received the Deputy Commissioner's letter on 19th instant and promised that he would submit his report with the records before the end of this week

Subram T.

Dec. 10. The first member was pleased to call for a report not before the 24th Hence this Administrative report. The fuller report promised by the Dy Comr may be

24/8/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(7

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 520 of 1922

Serial No.

1363-235
25. 8. 21

Mem. Food Member) 24/8/21

Secn. Matter has to be kept in view and not lost sight of till final disposal

26.8.21

Put up on 3 Sep unless the manager further report is received earlier 27/8/21.

From, the Dy Comr., Bangalore Lr Letter no. 743 dt 7.9.21.

Submits a detailed report as called for in the above.

The Dy. Comr's report goes fully into the facts of the case.

The Dharmadarshi, as at present constituted, include the petel Erappa Gowda and his opponent Subbarayappa

The representations of these persons, and their party, and the Dy. Comrs. Opinion thereon, are noted below:—

I. Prayer of Erappa Gowda and his party. — Dy. Comrs. Opinion

(a) (i) Payment of a sum of Rs 563-5-0 which they spent for the car festival of 1919-20. — As the expenditure is defective and does not furnish receipts, the [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mulz File No. 520 of 1912

Serial No.		
(2)	Restoration of the Uriam Villages to private management	Agrees in the Amildar's view that if these Villages are restored to private management, things will get upset again.
II	Complaints of Subrayappa -	Dy. Comm. Bellary.
(1)	Recovery of a sum of Rs 70 6-13-5 from Krappa Gowda, being arrears due to the temple.	Orders will be issued to take vigorous action
(2)	"Ganachari" Service is being unauthorizedly allowed to by another man of Krappa Gowda's party.	The Trustee will be enquired into and decided.
(3)	Kanike amount stored to the temple on occasions of marriage etc, is being misappropriated.	The Trustee has been referred to the Dharmadarsi &. to what checks these could propose to prevent such malpractices.
(4)	Opening of a private Gabbi by their Ryots in view of "R 24 to Rs" Sanctioned by Govt	It is reported that this has been set right by the Dy. Comm.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jmz File No. 520 of 19 20

Serial No.

Consult the Sub Divl Officer and pass orders, in the matter of the Amildar's suggestion that one of the Dharmadarsis be held responsible every year for the work of that year, being subject however, to the directions of the other Dharmadarsis and the Convener.

Submit for [illegible]

17 The complaints on either side is due to persons of opposite factions being on the Committee of Dharmadarsis. So long as these two men struggle for and struggle continue to be Dharmadarsis, the complaints against each other will be received and there will be no smooth working of the Committee, nor will the affairs of the temple improve.

18 It seems therefore desirable that both of them shd be removed from the list of Dharmadarsis of the temple and their places filled by men who do not belong to either faction

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 520 of 1920.

Serial No.

9 The other points are under the further consideration of the Dy Comr. - The Dy Comr may if appd be requested to favour us with his views abt the suggestion made in para 18 above and to dispose of other matters as early as possible

RC 23/9/21

Put up a draft approving of the views of the Dy Comr regarding I (1) & I (2) & II (1) and awaiting his report on II (2), II (3) and the matter referred to in para 16

RC/23/9/21

Draft as above

MM 9-11-21

Sd

Submitted

MM 24.11.21

Sd 25.11.21

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 520 of 1920-

Serial No.

A Draft is submitted In this case I have been directed to hold an enquiry Do. As the local officers are enquiring into the matter May I hold my enquiry after their report is received?
Yours Member 26/11/21

3059-22G.
28-11-21

A Yes. please. It seems to me that an independent enquiry by the Magistrate Supdt. will go a long way towards straightening out matters & smoothening on Mess feelings.
Rees
30.11.21

Draft with Sd's member Secretariat returned
Sd
30.11.21

Dft Subd
Attn 16/12 Sd 17-12-21

12 To The [illegible] Board of Revenue [illegible] 5 1/22
Calcutta for [illegible] information
in this matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis File No. 520 of 19 22

Serial No.	
13	Petition presented by Yajana Subbarayappa and certain others enclosing a copy of their petition to the Hon'ble Member of Council praying for early action on certain points connected with Dharmaraya Swami Temple at Old Sunpet, Bangalore The present petition is on the same lines as those contained in petition addressed to the Member on 10th May 1921. The Dy. Comm's further report called for in this office letter no. 3290 dy 5-1-1922, has not been received, and a reminder was issued on 20th January 1922. The subject is reserved for personal investigation by the Honble Diwan Bahadur, the Hon'ble Member in charge of Municipal and Sanitation [illegible]

25/1/22

Hon'ble Member

25/1/22

Sub B

Subs in 3-22

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 520 of 19 W

Serial No.

14 Trans. Munisamappa and others.

Petition of March 22 (recd 22-3-22).

Pray that as decided in the Special meeting of the Dharmadarsis, the Taluk Amildar who is a Mahomedan officer should not be entrusted with the cutting Jatra business and that early orders may be passed as their previous representations.

The petition was presented to the Hon'ble Member in Council.

Secretary's order:— Write to the Dy. Comr. at once.

DR. Srinivas.

22.3.22.

22/3/22.

Please send me the Fees Case in 15 minutes.

22/3/22.

15

To

The Dy: Comm[r] Bangalore

No: 5127 of 22nd March 22

Calls for a report by the 25th inst on the petition in Item 14 thereon

D. Members order

A similar representation was made to me last year also and I recollect to ordering a special enquiry in the matter & to be of report based thereon. I don't know what the position is. The matter is one which maybe settled into by the D.C personally & it does not appear to be any use to refer it to the authorities. I shall be glad if the Huzur Secretariat will please keep me in communication with the authorities and let me know how the matter ends by the 25th instant

J. R. R.
21.3.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D 4102

(14

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Kmz | File No. 520 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	The report called for has not been received. Hence Submitted with a reminder for approval.
	Thus 25/3/22 25/3/22 Issued.
16	Lr. from The Dy: Commr Batticaloa. No: FC/FC 743 of 20-21 & 28.3.22 States, under circumstances explained, that the report on the affairs of the Sri Dharmaraja Swami temple at Batticaloa will be submitted to Govt. by the end of this week.
	Submitted for information. The final report has to be awaited. Thus 30/3/22 30/3/22
	Submitted with reference to the Members tour dated 21-3-22 Secretary Member

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P.T.O.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Shamsuddin Ahmad's petition

New File No. 5710 of 1920

Serial No.	
5192-362 31.3.22	Further report is awaited Rec. 1-4-22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MMZ File No. 520 of 1920-21.

Serial No.	
17	From The Deputy Commr., Bandarawela No: AC/HC 743 of 1920-21 & 30.3.22 Submits copy of Proceedings & 4th March 1922 of the Dharmadaris. of the Sri Dharmarajaramaya Temple in Bandarawela &c., with regard to the management of ensuing Karaga Jahra together with his Opinion in the matter and solicits Sanction to an advance of Rs 700 - for Expenditure in connection with the same, subject to future adjustment.

The paper under disposal with its enclosures explains the situation. Draft G.O. submitted for approval.

The main file has been subd. to the Hond Member of Council Yesterday in Cmte with an addn item report & No Bycon.

31.3.22. BL

31/3/22

Fair draft subd.

1.6.22. BL

7/4/22

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

S 220-21
1.4.22

Serial No.

Grant Member) 31/1/4/22

Box approved

Peel
3.4.22

Thompson
Secy

20. The D.C. Kandy & the Mudaliyar Sup.
18 No 5506 dt 4th April 22.

Sanctions the advance of Rs 700/ and requests that suitable arrangements may be made for the Counsel of the Jaina witness Complainant

19 From Yeerappa Gowda and others Dharmakartas of Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple Petition recd on 8.9.22.

Pray that a Sum of Rs 1975-75 being the arrears alleged to be due to them from Vidyakatte and Heelukatte for the Temple from 13-14 to 20-21, may be paid to them.

The petitioners are the [illegible] of the Temple [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 520 of 19 V:

Serial No.

Notes below:—

"P.P. may be put up early with a short note if necessary may the petition be forwarded in cont. of G.O. no. 5506 & Dft. 4 1922 for report, & the issue - Sd?

Sd/- 11/9/22

Forwarded to the Mng Comm for disposal

Sd/- 12/9/22

Approved & report-parties taken

Sd/- 29.9.22

Sd/- 29/9/22

2807-433. 28 9 22

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 520 of 1920.

Serial No.	
20	I The Mug: Commr in Mysore No: 1470 dt 12th Oct. 22 Forwards the petition of the Dharmadarsis for Sirsi

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Two Rs Stamp

Petition no. 183

24.4.21

1st notice
8-4-21

2nd notice

4.

2.

3.

5

ಸಂ.೧೨೨ ದಿನಾಂಕ 14 ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ 1920

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕಳೆದ ಜುಲೈ ೧೯೧೩ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು ಏಳು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದಷ್ಟು ಶ್ರದ್ಧೆಯಿಂದ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ಕೆಲವು ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳಿಂದ ಅಸಹಕಾರವಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಿಸದೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡ

12

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ

ఆ ప్రేమ నరుచువ
అ పారప్రేమగారాయణా

ఈమి చిట్టినుడుకు బిళ్ళకాయప్రేమకాదా
దాకిదళ్ళిదివారిళ్ళింప్రేమబరదు.
ప్రేళ్ళిగ్గా నరుచువ
మునికామిరుచువ
శరకప్రేమ ప్రుచుప్రే
నవుంప్రేనథలు
యంగదప్రేమరుచువ
యునిగా యిరుచువ
ప్రేరప్రేమదుప్రేవ
ప్రేమగారు
యంగరంబగ్గిప్రేబగ్గిలప్రే
యంగటతో ంప్రేమరుచువ

యిక్కడప్రే
గా ళ్ళప్రే ప్రేమనుగుడుటు
గుండంప్రేమరుచుప్రే
మనుళాలప్రే
కాయప్రేమజ
ప్రేపరప్రేమనుగాముళాలి
యంగడుప్రేమరు బుటు
బిళ్ళప్రేమరుబుటు
లోపుల్లో బిళ్ళప్రే
ళాంమర్గ్గినప్రే
యు భీటమగురు రుయిండిటప్రేమో తారిదిళ్ళ్
యంగటప్రేమ బరదా
దుళ్ళిళాంమప్రేళ్ళకు.
నేంబ్రోలప్రేమేరుచు
ప్రేళ్ళు









To

2365

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B. A., B.L.,

Second Member of Council, Government of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

GEN & REV. SECT.
18 MAY 1921

Sir,

71460

As represented in the last para of our petition dated 21st April 1921 re the affairs of the " Karaga and Jatra " of the Sri Dharamaraya Swami Temple Ulsoorpetta, Bangalore City, we beg to lay the following points before your honor for redress:-

Petr has. Mysees with complts 19/5

1. That a Co-operative Society has been formed for the benefit of Market Gardeners since 1915.

2. That a Sangha has been started since March 1920 mainly for the education of the children of the "Vannikula Kshattriyas"

3. The improvement of the premises of the Temple which cannot be pursued for want of Co-operation in conserving the resources of the temple.

4. That after a strong struggle of over 40 years Government pleased to recognise the temple as a Major Luzrai institution & sanctioned a scale of income and expenditure.

5. That for want of Co-operation among the community and the help of local officers the above mentioned objects cannot be worked up. The principal leaders of the opposite party Sri. Yerrappa Gowda and his cousin Venkate Gowda, being afraid of the details of the income will be brought to account, have been throwing obstacles in the way saying both to the public and local officers that we are creating unpleasantness by presenting petitions and also that there is every likelihood of causing breach of the peace, whereas the fact is quite the contrary.

6. The temple being a major Luzrai Institution all members are entitled to equal rights of worship without distinction and to enquire

and to enquire after the affairs of the temple. We are being insulted in the eyes of the public and are denied the right of participating in the temple festivals, still we cannot but fight for the right principles of justice to which we are entitled to. The local officer or District Muzrai officer has not taken the troubl of enquiry into the matter and setting it right.

7. We pray that the records may be sent for and orders passed setting the affairs right or special officer may be deputed to hold an enquiry into the matter and report.

8. Every year the same Yerrappa Gowda is entrusted with the funds and the matter passes off as before and also jatra collection which may amount to about Rs500/- are being misappropriated. No accounts are maintained according to Muzrai rules and other Dharmadarsees have not been given any voice in the management of the affairs and they have been entirely disregarded and ignored. That unless and until a special officer is deputed to go deep into the matter no justice will be forthcomming. If the matter is not taken up in yo honors' time we are afraid that this will not come to a successf end. The same mismanagement, misappropriation and disturbance wi continue for ever.

For which act of kindness we shall ever be grateful.

Bangalore
10-5-1921

We beg to remain,

S I R,

Your most obedient servants,

ఒప్పందపత్రము

ఈ ఎడమచేతి చిటికెనవేలు ముద్ర మాంయిల్ వ్రాసిచ్చిన

ఈ ఎడమచేతి ఉంగరవేలు ముద్ర వీరియాచ్ వ్రాసిచ్చినది

ఈ ఎడమచేతి మధ్యవేలు ముద్ర తంగమ్మ వ్రాసిచ్చినది

ఈ ఎడమచేతి చూపుడువేలు ముద్ర

ఈ ఎడమచేతి బొటనవేలు ముద్ర

ఈ ఎడమచేతి చిటికెనవేలు ముద్ర

B. Manisamy

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 18.4.21

Signed by Mr Ayyangar

File No. 520-20

Serial No. 2

No. 3705 Ecclesiastical

To

The Dy. Commr.,

Bangalore Dist.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for favour of a very early report, herewith petition rcpd. no. 2130 dy 11-4-1921 from Errappa Gowda and others residents of Jigalarpet, Bangalore, praying that arrears due to them on account of the car-festival of the Dharmaraya Swami Temple at Halsoorpet, Bangalore

over.

ay be paid to them,
that the inam villages
owed to the insts may
be restored to their possession
I have etc.
12/4/21
Dist Inam. Secretary
12/4/21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Petitioners:—
Yejaman Subbarayappa
& others of Bangalore

Registered No. 2353 dated 13/5/21
Addressed to the Hon'ble
Member of Council

About the affairs of
Karaga and Jatra of the
Sree Dharmaraya swamy
temple at Ulsoorpet,
Bangalore City, the petitioners
bring the following facts
for consideration.

1. That a Cooperative Society
has been started for the
benefit of aforesaid.

2. That a Sangha has been
formed to educate the
children of "Vanni Kula Kshatriyas"

3. Improvement to the
temple building cannot
be done without conserving

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

the resources of the temple

4. That the Govt has recognized the institution as a Major Inamdari one.

5. Devappa Gowda and his Cousin Venkate Gowda are throwing obstacles in the way of Cooperation among the Community

6. As all the members have a right to enquire after the affairs of the temple they may visit the records may be sent for and orders passed setting the affairs right or a Special officer may be deputed to enquire into the matter

Submitted for orders with a draft forwarding the petition to the D.C. for disposal

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

18/5/21 18/5/21 Asst 18/5/21

9.7.0.

235 23

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

6119-116

20. 5. 1921.

Archin Lahen is approved

Peel

21-5-21

Issue 24/5/21

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

2595

20 21

34

ONE ANNA

520.

3

Yapmun Subba Rayasastra
One of the Dharmadarsees of Sri
Dharmaraya Swami Temple
Bangalore City
Trichinopoly

The Rs. 10 Copy of which has been asked for has been sent to the Dy Com^r for Reports & Rem^s have also been sent. The party who is here may if asked be told that the Papers is not here.

27.6.21

Subdivorth a draft

7.7.21

31

No. 243
Mis 520-20-4

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(MUZRAI.)

ENDORSEMENT.
~~Office Memorandum.~~

To

Dated Bangalore, the 14th July 1921.

Granted to Yajaman Subbarayappa, Dharmadarsi of Sri Dharmarayaswami temple, Bangalore City.

With reference to his application dated 27th June 1921, applying for a copy of the application presented by - Yerappa Gowda and of the ~~orders~~ Order passed thereon, he is informed that the petition ~~referred to above~~ has been sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for report.

Need kept in the absence of the Original the Copy applied for by him Cannot be Granted Note

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

HRR.

G. R. S. 31

36

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

7174

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Inam
File No. 520-20
Serial No 4

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

No.

Date of issue

Signed by

To Endorsement: Granted to Yajamana Subbarayappa Dharmadarsi of Sri Dharmaraya Swami temple, Bangalore city.

with ref. to his appln dy 27. 6. 21 applying for a copy of the appeal proceedings by Yerrappa Gowda and the orders passed thereon, he is informed ~~that the above~~ petn has been

over.

sent to the Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt for report,-
on receipt of the above
the copy applied for
may be granted.

By order

Dy Commr to Govt
Misc Dept

31
7/12

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI.—6/7]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT... Affairs of the "Karaga Jatra" of the Sree Dharmaraya Swamy Temple at Ulsoor pet Bangalore City

Section. Imp Cor
File No. 520 20
Serial No. 7

No. 4209 Cash Court

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the*..... 191

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 2353 dated 13th May 1921, received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Subrayappa & others Complaining about the mismanagement of ~~Karaga~~ the ~~Jatra~~ of the Sri Dharmaraya Swamy temple at Ulsoorpet Bangalore City is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures.~~ to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

Date of issue... 27.5.21

Signed by... Mr J.L.J. Affairs

In continuation of this office letter No. 3705/Miscl 52.20.2 dt 18.4.21

for ~~information~~ The report called for in the letter cited above may be sent without further delay.

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

18/5/21 18/5/21

P.T.O.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.. .. ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

....ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂಬರು.....

... .

....

....

....

.....

....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ... .....

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .. ..ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

List of enclosures

Examined by.....

WD 1255—GPB—5,000—21-1-19

Recd.

21 22
9 mar
520-20

1186-5-9
19.8.21

To
R. Raghavendra Rao, Esq.,B. A., B. L.,
~~Second~~ First Member of Council to the Government of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir

In continuation of our previous petitions dated 18th & 21st April 1921 and 12th May 1921 presented to your honor re the affairs of Sri Dharmarayaswamy Temple, Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City. We beg to represent that no definite orders have yet been passed in the matter.

2. That the Dharmadarsis have not yet been holding their meetings for the last four months as was done in the previous year, in accordance with the Muzrai Rules. When questioned, they say that the accounts of the Jatra Festival, &c., of previous years have not yet received from the Local Officers and so no meetings can be held.

3. The scale of Income and Expenditure sanctioned by Government in their order No: 4497-9/Muzrai, 707-18-3, dated 29th June 1920 has not been brought into force. This has caused much inconvenience and disturbance.

4. We beg that as prayed for in our petition dated 21st April 1921, that your honor will be graciously pleased to allow us to represent in person our attempts that we are making towards the improvements of the Institution and the community.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

S. Muniveenkatappa.

Bangalore,

Dated, the 16th August 1921.

G. R. S. VI.—G/7]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Mm-
File No. 520-20
Serial No. 7

No. 925

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the*.....191 .

Date of issue.....19-8-21.....

Signed by.....Mr D.E.J.....

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 587 dated 19th August 1921 addressed to the Members of Council & Amrai received at the ~~General and Revenue~~ Secretariat from Yejamaan Subbayappa and others Complaining about the mismanagement of the affairs of the Sree Dharmarayaswami temple at Ulsurpet Bangalore City is forwarded in continuation of this office memos Nos 3705 and 4209/M.7520-20 dated 18-4-21 & 27-5-21 ~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner & Bangalore District ~~for report & resubmission~~ The report called for in the memos referred to above may be submitted before the 21st instant.

Submission of a report with connected papers on or before the 24th inst.

By Order,

19/8/21

Secretary to Government.

19/8/21

P.T.O.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್..... .....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

....ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂಬರು.....

.....

.....

....

.....

....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

List of enclosures

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02178—GPB—2,000—22-5-17

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. ____
No.

Enclosure No.

07143

No. 743
2021

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, the 23rd August 1921

From

V. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.925/M.F.520-20-9, dated 19th instant calling for a report before the 24th instant, on the petition of Yajman Subbarayappa and others complaining about the mismanagement of the affairs of the Sri Dharmarayaswami Temple at - Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City, I have the honor to state that the petitions received with your office memos Nos.3705, 3707 and 4207, M.F.520-20, dated 18th April 1921, 19th April 1921 and 27th May 1921 on the same subject were forwarded to the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk for report. The report received from him shows that on the day previous to the last Dhwajarohana, he sent for Mr.Surappa, the Convener, but could not get him as he was absent from the station, and as the functions could not be postponed, the amount was nominally entrusted to Mr. Yerrappa Gowda with strict instructions that the purchases of necessary articles should be made only under the supervision of the Taluk Sheristedar and the -- Shekdar, and that the accounts should be submitted after the function.

2. As regards the petition received with your office Memo No.925/ M.F.520-20-9 dated the 19th August 1921, I have the honor to state that it was sent to the Amildar calling for a report before 11 A.M. on the

the 22nd instant, but no report has yet been received. I shall submit the final report with the records before the end of this week.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. A. Narayanaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

08671

21-22

916

21 22
Mad
520-20
11

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To The Murrai Secretary
to Govt.

Dated 3-9-21

Despatched 6-9-21

Received 7 SEP 1921

General No. He 743/20-21

No.

Enclosure No. Three files.

Re the petitions of Veerappa Gowda and Subbanayappa about the affairs of the ~~Dharmadarsha~~ Dharmaraja Swami temple in Bangalore City.

for opinion as to what check they would propose to impose to guard any malpractices.

8. Finally Subbarayappa's party aver that in lieu of the " ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿ " sanctioned by the Government, the opposite party has opened a private one The Amildar however, reports that this has been set right.

9. It is reported that Yarappa Gowda being an influential man of his community the affairs of the temple cannot be managed successfully without his help and support. Moreover, he has always been taking very keen interest and a leading part in the management of the car festival. To put an end to the present confusion the Amildar suggests that one of the -- Dharmadarsies be held responsible every year for the work of that year being subject however to the directions of the other Dharmadarsies and the conveneer. I will consult the Sub-Division officer in the matter and will pass suitable orders.

10. The connected Vernacular records together with the three petitions

One received with your No.3705 dated 18-4-21, and the Amildar's report No.204
(2) -do- ,, 4209 ,, 27-5-21,
(3) -do- ,, 925 ,, 19-8-21. dated 23rd instant are herewith

sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M. Narayanappa.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 9K.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 5. 1 922

Signed by Mr. T. E. J. K.

Section MM2

File No. 520—20

Serial No 12

No. 3290 Land Revenue Bangalore 5-1-22

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 743/20-21 dated 6th September 1921 reporting on the petitions of Gerappa Gowda and Subrayappa about the affairs of the Sree Dharmaraya Swamy temple in Bangalore City, I am directed

over.

Respondents to
to request you to submit ~~your~~ the information ~~report~~ on the
~~report on the~~ action taken ~~regarding~~ based on to proceed
on the several points
touched upon in your
letter under reply viz

1) the recovery of Rs 706-13-5 due by
Yerrappa Gowda, 2) the performance
of Gajachara service in the temple,
3) the amount offered to the temple
on marriage occasions etc and
4) the management of car festival
every year.

I have etc

~~I am desired~~ a very
early reply is requested.
in the matter.

The Revenue records
are herewith returned.

DFM
Adm.
16/12/24

DMR: Secretary

DR
17-12-24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Received 23.1.22

49
2948
4 22

T. E. Jayaramaiar, Esq., B. A. B. L.,
Secretary to Government Muzrai Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

520-20
Ser 13

Honoured Sir,

In enclosing herewith a copy of the petition - presented to the Second Member of Council to Government in re the affairs of Sri-Dharmarayaswami Temple, Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City, we beg to pray that your honour will be pleased to pass early - orders on the several points requiring justice as the next Jatra of the temple is fast approching.

For which act of kindness the whole community will ever be grateful.

Bangalore City
23rd January 22

We beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servants.

Yajman Subba Rayappa

M. Munivenkatappa

To

Raja Mantra Pravina

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Second Member of Council, Mysore Government,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

We the undersigned devotees of Sri Dharmaraya Swamy Temple, Ulsurpet, Bangalore City, beg to approach your honor and to represent that no definite orders have yet been passed in respect of the affairs of the said Temple. We beg to state here for favor of information requiring orders towards the improvement of the Institution as well as the Community.

1. That a Co-operative Society has been formed ~~for the formed~~ for the benefit of Market Gardeners since 1915.

2. That a Sangha has been started since March 1920. Mainly for the Education of the Children of the Vannikula Kshatriyas.

3. The Improvement of the Premises of the Temple cannot be pursued for want of Co-operation concerning the resources of the Temple.

4. That after a long struggle Government were pleased to recognise the Temple as a Major Muzrai Institution and sanctioned a scale of Income and Expenditure, which has not been given effect to, *this has caused much inconvenience.*

5. That for want of Co-operation among the Community and the help of Local Officers the above menticned objects cannot be worked up. The principal leaders of the opposite faction Yerappa Gowda and his cousin Venkate Gowda being afraid of the details of the Income will be brought to account have been throwing obstacle in the way saying both to the Public and Local Officers that we are creating unpleasantness by representing petitions and also that there is every likelyhood of causing breach of Peace whereas the fact is quite the contrary.

6. The Temple being a Major Muzrai Institution all Members are entitled to equal rights of worship without distinction and to enquire after the affairs of the Temple. We are being insulted in the eyes of the Public and are denied the right of participating in the Temple Festivals still we cannot fight for the right-principles of Justice to which we are entitled to. The Local Officer or District Muzrai Officer has not taken the trouble of enquiring into the matter and setting it right.

7. The accounts of both Income and Expenditure of the Institution are not being maintained and even the Local Authorities are silent in the matter.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

No...HC 743/20-21. Bangalore, 3rd September 1921.

From

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.H.C.743 of 20-21 dated 23rd August 1921 in re the petitions of Yarappa Gowda and Subbarayappa respecting about the affairs of the Dharmarayaswami temple in the Bangalore City, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. Consequent on the mismanagement of the affairs of this institution Government took it under their management in the year 1905(Vide,Government order No.3630/Muz.F.1-99 dated 1st July 1903)and subsequently appointed 7 persons as Dharmadarsies to manage its affairs and also sanctioned a scale of expenditure for this temple. As reported by the Amildar the management of the temple is far from satisfactory, being mainly due to the -- factious spirit prevailing among the persons responsible for the management

3. The Dharmadarsies as at present constituted include the petitioner Yarappa Gowda and the counter-petitioner Subrayappa. For many years past petitions are being received from both of them, one complaining against the other.

4. The prayer of Yarappa Gowda and his party in their several petitions is that a sum of Rs.563-5-0 which they spent for the car festival of 1919 may be ordered to be paid to them from the funds of the temple and that inam villages of the temple now under Government management may be re- to private management. As regards the first prayer - Government in order No.3350-1/Muz.600-19-2 dated 1st April 1920 sanctioned a sum of for car festival expenses of 1919-20 but cancelled the same in

in their order No.4291-2/Muz.600-19-4 dated 16th June 1920 as the devotees of the temple in their petition dated April 1920 stated that as the expenses were met out of subscriptions from devotees, Government need not sanction any money for that year. The expenditure account furnished ~~from~~ by Yarappa Gowda and others of his party is defective as it does not give particulars of receipts. In this circumstance, the prayer is not worth consideration . The second prayer(namely - the restoration of inam villages)would -- amount to a reopening of the question that has been settled by Government. The Amildar reports that in case the inam villages are given back to private management, things would get upset again I agree with the Amildar's view.

5. Coming to the complaints preferred by the counter-petitioner Subbarayappa, it is admitted by Yerrappa Gowda that a sum of -- Rs.706-13-5 is due by him to the temple funds prior to the coming of the temple under Government management in 1905. The Amildar reports that inspite of several attempts it has not been possible to ~~secure~~ recover this sum. This is discreditable and orders will be -- issued to take vigorous action.

6. A second complaint is that the 'Ganachari' service which was being performed hitherto by one Munisamappa is now being unauthorisedly attended to by another man of Yarappa Gowda's party. This state of affairs is purely the result of bad feeling which exists between the two parties. The question, as to who should perform this service will be ordered to be enquired into and decided.

7. The third complaint of Subbarayappa and others is that the Kanika amount offered to the temple on occasions of marriages etc is being misappropriated. But as reported by the Amildar both the parties seem to be responsible for this, as none of them is willing to furnish proper accounts for the amount though often called upon to do so. The question will be ~~reported~~ referred to the Dharmadarsis for

To

Raja Mantra Pravina

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Second Member of Council, Mysore Government,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

We the undersigned devotees of Sri Dharmaraya Swamy Temple, Ulsurpet, Bangalore City, beg to approach your honor and to represent that no definite orders have yet been passed in respect of the affairs of the said Temple. We beg to state here for favor of information requiring orders towards the improvement of the Institution as well as the Community.

1. That a Co-operative Society has been formed ~~for the formed~~ for the benefit of Market Gardeners since 1915.

2. That a Sangha has been started since March 1920. Mainly for the Education of the Children of the Vannikula Khatriyas.

3. The Improvement of the Premises of the Temple cannot be pursued for want of Co-operation concerning the resources of the Temple.

4. That after a long struggle Government were pleased to recognise the Temple as a Major Muzrai Institution and sanctioned a scale of Income and Expenditure, which has not been given effect to, *this has caused much inconvenience.*

5. That for want of Co-operation among the Community and the help of Local Officers the above menticned objects cannot be worked up. The principal leaders of the opposite faction Yerappa Gowda and his cousin Venkate Gowda being afraid of the details of the Income will be brought to account have been throwing obstacle in the way saying both to the Public and Local Officers that we are creating unpleasantness by representing petitions and alsc that there is every likelyhood of causing breach of Peace whereas the fact is quite the contrary.

6. The Temple being a Major Muzrai Institution all Members are entitled to equal rights of worship without distinction and to enquire after the affairs of the Temple. We are being insulted in the eyes of the Public and are denied the right of participating in the Temple Festivals still we cannot fight for the right-principles of Justice to which we are entitled to. The Local Officer or District Muzrai Officer has not taken the trouble of enquiring into the matter and setting it right.

7. The accounts of both Income and Expenditure of the Institution are not being maintained and even the Local Authorities are silent in the matter.

8. Several jatras passed in this way, the next is in view- no notice has been taken of the Resolutions passed by the - Dharmadarsies at their few meetings by the Local Authorities with the result that the Income of the Temple is suffering, same mis-appropriation of Temple, Shop Rents, collection of jatra &c., continue as - before.

9. We are unaware of ~~at a loss to know~~ what orders were passed on Para VIII of our Petition dated 12th May 1921 re a sum of Rs.500/- drawn by the Amildar from Temple Funds, Bangalore and placed at the disposal of Messrs. Errappa Gowda and Venkate Gowda.

10. We pray that your honor will kindly taking up the enquiry in your own hands which will only bring out justice ~~and~~ true firmly believe that you will kindly do it, for which act of kindness, the whole of Mysore Vanhikula Kshathriya Community will ever remain - grateful.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Bangalore City,
22nd November 1921.

"Copy"

2090

4-22

Rajah Mantra Pravina

Rajamevaru Rao, B.A., B.L.,

Second Member of Council, Mysore Government,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

We the undersigned devotees of Sri Bhargava Swamy Temple, Ulsurpet, Bangalore City, beg to approach you or higher and to represent that no definite orders have yet been passed in respect of the affairs of the said Temple. We beg to state here for favor of information regarding arrears towards the improvement of the Institution as well as the Community.

That a Co-operative Society has been formed for the benefit of Market Gardeners since 1915.

2. That a School has been started since December 1920. mainly for the Education of the Children of the Vanniyal Kshatriyas.

3. The Improvement of the Premises [illegible] cannot be pursued for want of funds as the entire resources of the Temple.

4. That [illegible] release the [illegible] Temple [illegible] has not been [illegible] convenience.

5. That [illegible] Committee [illegible] objects [illegible] possible further [illegible] been drawn of [illegible] for account [illegible] Public [illegible] fact is quite [illegible]

6. [illegible]

53

all Members are entitled to equal rights of worship without distinction and to enquire after the affairs of the Temple. We are being insulted in the eyes of the Public and are denied the right of participation in the Temple Festivals still we cannot but fight for the last principles of Justice to which we are entitled. The Local Officer or District Muzumal Officer is not taken the trouble of enquiring into the matter to settle the right.

7. The Accounts of both Income and Expenditure of the Institution are not being audited by the Local Authorities are silent in the matter.

8. Several Jatras passed in the [illegible] views of justice has been taken of the Resolutions passed by the Dharmasabhas at their four meetings to the Local Authorities, with the result that the Income of the Temple is suffering, the main purpose for which the Temple's collection of Jatras etc., continue to prevail.

9. We are unable to [illegible] Rule VIII of our Petition dated 12th [illegible] 1928 [illegible] Rs.500 drawn by the Aandar [illegible] Temple Kumaas, [illegible] placed at the disposal of Messrs. Fra[illegible] & Co. [illegible] Govt.

10. We [illegible] further [illegible] enquiry in your [illegible] We further [illegible] of interest, the [illegible] quality [illegible]

Colombo,
22nd November 21

Yapiman Subba Rayappa
M.S.Kumaravenkatappa
[illegible]



March 1922.

S20-
Ser 14

Raja Mantra Pravina P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
Second Member of Council to the Government of His -
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir

We the undersigned devotees of Sri Dharmarayaswamy temple, Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City beg to approach your honour once again with the following for redress:-

2. That in spite of several representations made to your honour, to the Deputy Commissioner and to the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk; ~~the~~ the affairs of the temple have not yet been set right, and we have been repeatedly deprived of worship in the temple and also we are not allowed to offer our Vows to the deity by Messrs. Yerrappa Gowda, Venkate Gowda and others.

3. In connection with the ensuing " Karaga Jatra " and the Car festival of the temple which will commence in about a fortnight, a special meeting of the Dharmadarsis was held on 4th March 1922. The meeting was presided over by the Amildar; in which it has been - resolved that the Amildar to be the manager and Supervisor of the ensuing Jatra.

4. As regards the taking up of the management of the Car festival of a Hindu temple by a Mahomedan officer it will be far from - satisfaction and we are afraid that the above said Messrs. Yerrappa ~~XXXX~~ Gowda, Venkate Gowda will be as usual entrusted with the - affairs, by the Amildar. The Dharmadarsis of the temple are appointed from both factions of our community and also outsiders, and we do not understand why prominence is attached to Yerrappa Gowda and

Venkate Gowda

Venkate Gowda whose conduct towards the affairs of the temple and to the interest of the community is questionable as represented on many previous occasions.

5. As a matter of fact the other Dharmadarsis and devotees are entirely discarded to have any voice in the matter. The accounts of income and expenditure of the Jatra Car festivals &c.; of the previous years have not yet been furnished for scrutiny by the other Dharmadarsis. The annual Jatra accounts are not being audited nor are expenditure supported by vouchers. We do not understand how Government allow such irregularities to be repeated year after year without check.

6. We beg to urge once more about the conduct of Yerrappa Gowda with regard to the temple affairs.

On the occasion of previous meetings of the temple, Dharmadarsis Yerrappa Gowda and Venkate Gowda never attended any meetings at all. On the present occasion when the Amildar presided over the meeting, the above said persons attended the meeting for the reason that they, as Patels under the Amildar, could influence the Amildar in recording resolutions of the meeting to meet their own ends. The conduct of Yerrappa Gowda and his party in refusing to allow the offering of Pooja by one Chinnanna and others in January 1922 was open to serious objuction. The temple is a public institution whereat every devotee has every right to offer Pooja. Such being the case, this Yerrappa Gowdas' action instigating the Poojari not to perform the Pooja offered by the above said Chinnanna and others who belong to our caste was injurious to the feelings of our community. This shows that Yerrappa Gowda being one of the Dharmadarsis has no business to act indipendently of other Dharmadarsis in the temple affairs. His actions and irregularities are greatly tolerated by the Taluk authorities under whose support he is dare enough to discard other Dharmadarsis and misappropate temple funds.

7. The discripancies in the accounts of the temple are no as yet although representations to the Government in the matter are still pending before them.

8. In conclusion we pray that your honour will be graciously pleased to pass early orders before the Jatra commences, on the several points urged by us and save us from being insulted in the Eyes of the public when the matter rests in your ~~xxx~~ generous hands.

For which act of kindness the whole community will ever greatful and pray for your long life.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants,



G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to Immediate

Section Misc

File No. S 20-20

Serial No 1/S

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 5727

191

Date of issue 22-3-22

To

The Dy. Comr,

Bangalore Dist

Signed by

Sir,

In contn of this office letter no. 5090 dy 21-3-22, requesting the Govt a report re the affairs of Sri Dharmaraja Swami Temple in Bangalore, I am directed to forward herewith

over.

By 24th March 22

Petition reg^d. N^o 4002 received from Munisamappa, Munè-Nellappa and others praying that the Taluk Amildar, who is a Mohemedan, as also Yerrappa Gowda and Venkatappa Gowda may not be entrusted with the management of the ensuing Karaga Jatra &c, and request that you will be so good as to attend to the matter personally and submit a detailed report on all the allegations and other points on or before the 25th instant.

Mave &c

24/3/22

Asst. Commr.

24/3/22

Copied by

Examined by

22 Rc Jte 743 of 20-21

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 28th March 1922.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

4102

11.22

S20-20-16

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5127/Muz.520-20-15 of the 22nd instant, calling for a report by the 25th instant, regarding the affairs of the Sri Dharmarayaswami Temple at Bangalore, I have the honor to state that the report of the Amildar in the matter was received in this office on the 23rd instant, and that after going through the records, I found that an examination of some of the Dharmadarsis was essential. A notice has been issued to them to appear before me this day (28th March 1922) and after hearing what they have to say, I shall submit the report by the end of this week.

Your letter of the 22nd was received by me on the 23rd instant at camp and I could not comply with the requisition before the 25th, though it is regretted there was delay in the submission of the Amildar's report on the original reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. _____
No.

Enclosure No.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

T.V

Ac

No. Hc 743 of 20-21

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 30th March 1922.

From

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

DIARY No. 4117
24.3.22
Muz
520-20-17

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5127/Muz.525-20-15 of the 22nd March 1922 forwarding for report a petition received from Munisamappa and others, praying that the management of the ensuing Karaga Jatra of the Dharmarayaswami temple at Bangalore may not be entrusted to the present Amildar as he is a Mohammedan gentleman etc, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the proceedings dated 4th March 1922 of the Dharmadarsis of the said temple resolving among other things that the expenditure to be incurred during the current year's "Karga" should be under the Amildar's supervision.

2. As stated in my letter No. Hc.743 of the 28th instant, a notice was issued to the Dharmasis, and they appeared before me on the ~~said~~ same day. From a statement(copy enclosed)filed before me by Yejaman Subbarayappa and others, it is seen that they want that during the approaching "Karga", every Dharmadarsi should have a voice, that the right of worship is given to all the devotees, that a "Kanika Dubbi" is maintained, and that the income expenditure should be properly accounted for. The other party take ection to these requests, but as this is a Muzrai Institution, the said ons cannot be considered, and orders will if approved by Govern- issued to the Amildar to see that the strict arrangements on the

the lines described above are carried out.

3. As regards the prayer that the Amildar who is a Mohammedan may not be entrusted with supervision etc, I beg to state that Mr.Hyder Ali Khan will be directed to take the help of his Taluk Sheristedar Mr.Narasimhaiya, and the latter will be made responsible to look to all the details of expenditure in the temple under the orders of the former. On the day of the Jatra, the Sheristedar will be asked to remain in the temple itself, supervising the details of income and expenditure, taking the help of Dharmadarsis and of the Amildar, who will also be directed to be present in person on the day in question. I request the approval of Government to these arrangements as early as possible.

4. There are some other points in the statement filed by Nejman Subbarayappa. A report on these will be submitted along with the one called for in your letter No.3890/Muz.520-2012 dated 5th January 1922.

5. The Amildar has applied for a grant of Rs.700/- for the expenses in connection with the current year's "Karga", the ceremon in connection with which commence from the 3rd April next, and I request that the amount asked for may be advanced subject to the submission of accounts. The proposed grant exceeds the sanctioned one by Rs.200/-, on account of the present market rates of necessary articles. The favor of immediate orders is requested.

6. The petition received with your letter is herewith resubmitted

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramamoorthi

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner, Batticaloa.

Petitioners.

Veiran Sundaram and
S. Muniveladanna
Kumaradanna and others.

Counter Petitioners.

Perianna Vedda
Kalabe Vedda and others.

Petition filed.

In connection with the approaching Pharaha festival notice may be given to all the Pharmacarsees and equal rights of worship is given to all the devotees without distinction.

2. Rights of equal worship in the temple, a major urgent Injunction is denied.

3. No accounts of income and expenditure is maintained by the Pharmacarsees.

4. Though the scale of income and expenditure etc., is fixed no effect is given

5. No action is taken on the resolutions passed in the several meetings of the Pharmacarsees, Perianna Vedda and Kalabe Vedda are not attending the meetings.

6. Ample labour is not maintained in the temple and collections are not properly accounted for.

7. Jatra accounts as well as monthly accounts are not rendered for several years past in spite of strict enjoinment P.1. No. 15/-9/ Dated 28th June 1929.

8. No room is given to conserve the income of the temple. No sources may be scrutinised.

The following are the sources.

(a) The rents of four shops and one house belonging to the temple.
(b) Vanikes paid to the temple on account of traffic etc. both in the jatra and abroad.
(c) During the jatra days the Vedol will be taken round to the houses of the community and a sum of [illegible] annas and four pies) be collected from each house.
(d) The temporary shop rents, during the jatra days.
(e) The income from coconuts trees and fodder in the temple premises.
(f) The temple cattle ranch.
(g) The cart and bazar maintained in the [illegible] for the benefit of the temple.
(h) The Vanikes canal maintained in the [illegible] Arkoo by Muniveladanna Pallapoa.
(i) The rent of room built by ground [illegible] of the Dhoby etc. other sources.
(j) The revenue of the lands as well as land sale, the [illegible] of monthly amount due.
(k) The charges of the houses in Eravur & Pattipalai will be added to the temple as per leases executed by the owners rupees 21,2/- (annas two) per each house.

(1) [illegible] to the Darkhastdars.

2. [illegible] are not being recorded [illegible] to recover the arrears.

3. [illegible] are not taken into account, the reviews [illegible] [illegible] suffer-[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] prevent-[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

4. [illegible].

and above,
[illegible].

Sd/ Rajaman Subbarayappa
Sd- P. Munivenkatappa
Sd-
Sd- M.G. Munisyappa
Sd/ Rajaman Subbarayappa
Then is the Office Memo issued
Sd/ Krishna Murthappa

Copy"

Marath
for Dy Commr
Bangalore

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT..... Jain Dan .....

(.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 4117 .....

Section Misc

File No. 520-20

Serial No. 18

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* 191 .

P.V. 2 I

Date of issue..... 4. 6. 21 .....

Signed by..... Mr J. F. J. Dyer .....

Read—

1. Petition dated the 21st March 1922, Kom Munisamappa and others devotees of Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple, Bangalore city, praying for orders, with regard to the management of the ensuing Karaga Jatra to be held in April 1922.

Order Thereon No.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no. A.C./H.C. 743 of 1920-21, dated the 30th March 1922, from the Dy: Commr, Bangalore District

May this be

i Printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

of Govt. Copy of Proceedings
dated the 4.3.1922 of
the Dharmadarsis of
the temple in Connection
with the ensuing karaya
jaha, together with his
opinion in the matter
and requesting, for
reasons stated, sanction
to an advance of Rs 700/-
for expenses connected
with the same.

Order No: 5506-8 Jud 520-20-18
dated 4 April 1922.

The Dy: Commr is
requested to make such
~~his own~~ arrangements
as appear to him to be suitable or necessary
on the points referred
to in paragraphs 2 & 3
of his letter, regarding
which no orders of
Govt. are necessary.
Action should be
taken to see that the

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB —30,000—17 3-18

(2) 71

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

jaha is conducted satisfactorily & that adequate pumping water arrangements are afforded.

2) An advance of Rs 700/- is also sanctioned for expenditure during the current year's jaha as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, subject to adjustment in due course.

1/4/22

Secy: to Govt. Revenue Dept.

Recd 3.4.22

31/3/22.

1/4/22

To The Dy. Commr. Kangra

Dy. Secy.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

72

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 872

Section

File No. Sam-20

Serial No. 20

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. 1470 Due

Date of issue 12-10-22

To The Inspector Genl. of

Signed by Mr. T. E. Jayasinghe,

Advising to send
5506-8/Insp. 520-20 dated
April 1922 sanctioning
an advance for [illegible]
drawing [illegible]
Dharmapala [illegible]
Kahawatta [illegible]
[illegible]

and Report of action
taken

over.

872 Sri Venkappa Servai
Shri Shri Dharmadas Raj of
Dharmarayaswami Bangalore City.
the temple praying that
a Sum of Rs 1975-4-0
being the arrears alleged
to be due to them towards
Puja Katle and Mahasabha
Kandaya in the temple
from 1913-14 to 1920-21
in spite of their
(illegible)
(illegible)

80
30.9.22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

73

File No.

Serial Nos.

190 .

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

Part A: Manuscript
for

Serial Nos.